# AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE ON THE PERPETUATION OF INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE

Eli J. Finkel\* & Erica B. Slotter\*\*

#### INTRODUCTION

namics of emotional attachment in intimate relationships represent a dence more strongly supports the latter. We then argue that the dyemotional and behavioral interdependence that characterize most intitions aimed at perpetrators of intimate partner violence. cussing the implications of this review for clinical and legal intervenindividuals are likely to become violent. We conclude by briefly dispowerful set of factors that influences the circumstances under which Article, we review these two arguments and suggest that empirical evi which can on occasion serve as a precursor to violent behavior. In this mate relationships invite unusually high levels of nonviolent conflict. able means of doing so. The second argument is that the high levels of entitled to exert power over women, and that violence is an acceptdard socialization practices in most cultures teach men that they are of intimate partner violence (IPV). The first argument is that stanrate, and largely incompatible, arguments to explain these high rates past several decades, social scientists have presented at least two sepato cherish from this day forward until death do them part"? Over the merge their lives, even those whom they have promised "to love and deliberately hurt those very people with whom they have chosen to violent behavior between romantic partners. How could individuals Observers are frequently bewildered by the alarmingly high rates of

IPV refers to any behavior carried out with the primary proximal intent to cause physical harm to a romantic partner who is motivated to avoid being harmed. Large-scale, representative surveys in the United States indicate that approximately one in six couples experiences at least one act of IPV every year; these estimates are compa-

<sup>\*</sup> Assistant Professor of Social Psychology, Northwestern University

<sup>\*\*</sup> Graduate student of Social Psychology, Northwestern University.

<sup>1.</sup> John Schafer et al., Rates of Intimate Partner Violence in the United States, 88 Am. J. Pub. Health 1702, 1702 (1998): Murray A. Straus & Richard J. Gelles, Societal Change and Change in Family Violence from 1975 to 1985 as Revealed by Two National Surveys, 48 J. Marriage & Fam. 465, 466 (1986).

2007]

AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE

rable with, or even lower than, those from other surveys from the United States and from around the world.<sup>2</sup> Perhaps the most surprising conclusion from the large corpus of studies on IPV is that women tend to perpetrate IPV in heterosexual relationships at least as often as men do.<sup>3</sup>

# II. Two Perspectives on the Frequency of IPV

As mentioned above, at least two lines of scholarly thought have emerged to explain the high rates of IPV.<sup>4</sup> The first suggests that IPV is primarily a strategic behavior perpetrated almost exclusively by men and oriented toward the long-term goal of establishing and maintaining dominance and control. From this perspective, men internalize, via socialization processes, patriarchal norms that lead them to believe that dominating and controlling women with violence (and in other ways) is their right; female violence, in contrast, is virtually always used for self-protection.<sup>5</sup> According to this approach, "[M]en who assault their wives are actually living up to cultural prescriptions

that are cherished in Western society—aggressiveness, male dominance, and female subordination—and they are using physical force as a means to enforce that dominance." Although patriarchal beliefs could well be a risk factor for male IPV, the perspective that patriarchal socialization is the primary cause of virtually all acts of IPV has begun to crumble under the weight of voluminous contradictory evidence. A review of the literature pertaining to this line of thought is beyond the scope of this Article, but the interested reader is encouraged to examine recent critiques by Professor Donald Dutton and others.

pain at the hands of the partner,  $^{11}$  and (3) the individual will be espequality of life,10 (2) the individual will feel vulnerable to emotional that (1) the partner's behavior will adversely affect the individual's conflict in intimate relationships because it increases the likelihood of another person, can lead to nonviolent (and, sometimes, violent) which refers to having one's life and well-being intertwined with that the stage for conflicting interests to surface."9 Interdependence, strong, frequent, and diverse bonds between [intimate partners] set though often unanticipated—feature of close relationships. The times boil over into violent conflict:8 "Conflict is an inevitableinterdependent relationships, and this nonviolent conflict can someinsecurity that can arise from it) is virtually certain to emerge in close, perspective, some degree of nonviolent conflict (and the anger and or women) feel angered or threatened in their relationship. From this rily an impulsive behavior that emerges when individuals (either men The second line of scholarly thought suggests that violence is prima-

See, e.g., Lynn Magdol et al., Gender Differences in Partner Violence in a Birth Cohort of 21-Year-Olds: Bridging the Gap Between Clinical and Epidemiological Approaches, 65 J. CONSULT-ING & CLINICAL PSYCHOL. 68 (1997); Murray A. Straus, Cross-Cultural Reliability and Validity of the Revised Conflict Tactics Scales: A Study of University Student Dating Couples in 17 Nations, 38 CROSS-CULTURAL RES, 407 (2004).

<sup>3.</sup> John Archer, Sex Differences in Aggression Between Heterosexual Parmers: A Meta-analytic Review, 126 Psychol. Bull. 651 (2000); Miriam K. Ehrensaft et al., Clinically Abusive Relationships in an Unselected Birth Cohort: Men's and Women's Participation and Developmental Antecedents, 113 I. Abnormal Psychol. 258 (2004). Some scholars have argued that there is a rare and particularly severe form of IPV that is perpetrated almost exclusively by men. See, e.g., Michael P. Johnson, Partiarchal Terrorism and Common Couple Violence: Two Forms of Violence Against Women, 57 I. Marriaga & Fam. 283 (1995); Murray A. Straus, The Controversy over Domestic Violence by Women: A Methodological, Theoretical, and Sociology of Science Analysis, in Violence by Women: A Methodological, Theoretical, and Sociology of Science Analysis, in Violence in Internating Relationships 17 (Ximena B. Arriaga & Stuart Oskamp eds., 1999). Although we are receptive to the notion that there are distinct forms of IPV, it seems plausible that the analysis of attachment dynamics presented in this report is applicable to almost all of them (perhaps with the exception of IPV perpetrated by psychopaths, who empirically.

<sup>4.</sup> A third argument, albeit a less mainstream one, suggests that evolutionary pressures have provided a survival advantage to men who were violent toward their mating partners because his violence helped to provide them with exclusive control over their partners' reproductive apacity. See MARTIN DALY & MARGO WILSON, HOMICIDE (1988). This sociobiological perpective suggests that the survival advantage has left present-day men with a genetic proclivity oward IPV.

<sup>5.</sup> R. Emerson Dobash & Russell Dobash, Violence Against Wives: A Case Against He Patriarchy (1979); Ellen Pence & Michael Paymar, Education Groups for Men Who Batter: The Duluth Model (1993); Michele Bograd, Feminist Perspectives on Wife 1buse: An Introduction, in Feminist Perspectives on Wife Abuse II (Kersti Yllö & Michele 1ograd eds., 1988); Russell P. Dobash et al., The Myth of Sexual Symmetry in Marital Violence, 9 Soc. Probs. 71 (1992).

<sup>6.</sup> Dobash & Dobash, supra note 5, at 24.

<sup>7.</sup> See, e.g., Donald G. Dutton & Kenneth Corvo, Transforming a Flawed Policy: A Call to Revive Psychology and Science in Domestic Violence Research and Practice, 11 AGGRESSION & VIOLENT BEHAV. 457 (2006); Donald G. Dutton & Tonia L. Nicholls, The Gender Paradigm in Domestic Violence Research and Theory: Part I—The Conflict of Theory and Data, 10 AGGRESSION & VIOLENT BEHAV. 680 (2005); M.I. George, Invisible Touch, 8 AGGRESSION & VIOLENT BEHAV. 680 (2005); M.I. George, Invisible Touch, 8 AGGRESSION & VIOLENT BEHAV. 23 (2003).

<sup>8.</sup> See Richard B. Felson, Patterns of Aggressive Social Interaction, in Social Psychology of Aggression: From Individual Behavior to Social Interaction 107 (Amélie Munmendey ed., 1984); Christopher M. Murphy & K. Daniel O'Leary, Psychological Aggression Predicts Physical Aggression in Early Marriage, 57 J. Consulting & Clinical Psychol. 579 (1989); Jan E. Stets, Verbal and Physical Aggression in Marriage, 52 J. Marriage & Fam. 501 (1990); Murray A. Straus et al., The Revised Conflict Tactics Scale (CTS2), 17 J. Fam. Issues 283 (1996).

John G. Holmes & Sandra L. Murray. Conflict in Close Relationships, in Social Psychology: Handbook of Basic Principles 622, 650 (E. Tory Higgins & Arie W. Kruglanski eds. 1996).

<sup>10.</sup> John W. Thibaut & Harold H. Kelley, The Social Psychology of Groups (1959).

11. John G. Holmes, Interpersonal Expectations as the Building Blocks of Social Cognition:

An Interdependence Theory Perspective, 9 Pers. Relationships 1 (2002).

of IPV. This research has been spearheaded by scholars such as Kim ships. 13 One flourishing area of research adopting this conflict-based approach has extended attachment theory principles14 to the domain Bartholomew, acts that both men and women perpetrate in their intimate relationspective on IPV accurately describes a large proportion of the violent amount of empirical evidence suggests that this conflict-based percially motivated to influence the partner's behavior. 12 An enormous Antonia Henderson, Donald Dutton, and Ofra

#### THE FOUNDATIONS OF ATTACHMENT THEORY: ATTACHMENT IN INFANCY

cording to attachment theory, human infants and caregivers possess, out receiving long-term care to keep them safe and healthy.<sup>17</sup> Acextremely immature relative to other animals and cannot survive withcal reasons (including the size of the infant's skull required to house for the mother's bipedal skeletal structure), human babies are born the large human brain and the narrowness of the birth canal required infant when these bonds are frayed or broken.16 For complex biologicaregivers (typically parents) and the adverse consequences for the analysis of the emotional bonds that connect infants and their Attachment theory was originally developed as an evolutionary

ALITY & Soc. Psychol. 511 (1987) [hereinafter Hazan & Shaver, Romantic Love]. Hazan & Phillip Shaver, Romantic Love Conceptualized as an Attachment Process, 52 J. Person-AND HEALTHY HUMAN DEVELOPMENT (1988) [hereinafter BOWLBY, A SECURE BASE]; Cindy [hereinafter Bowlby, Loss]; John Bowlby, A Secure Base: Parent-Child Attachment ration]; 3 John Bowlby, Attachment and Loss: Loss: Sadness and Depression (1980) TACHMENT AND LOSS: SEPARATION: ANXIETY AND ANGER (1973) [hereinafter Bowlby, Sepa-CHOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE STRANGE SITUATION (1978); 1 JOHN BOWLBY, ATTACHMENT AND Loss: Attachment (2d ed. 1982) [hereinafter Bowlby, Attachment]; 2 John Bowlby, At-14. See generally Mary D. Salter Ainsworth et al., Patterns of Attachment: A Psy-

43 (Christopher Clulow ed., 2001); Ofra Mayseless, Adult Attachment Patterns and Courtship TACHMENT AND COUPLE PSYCHOTHERAPY: THE "SECURE BASE" IN PRACTICE AND RESEARCH Bartholomew et al., Insecure Attachment and Abusive Intimate Relationships, in ADULT AT-TACHMENT, CAREGIVING, AND SEX 102 (Mario Mikulincer & Gail S. Goodman eds., 2006); Kim spective on Abusive Dynamics in Intimate Relationships, in DYNAMICS OF ROMANTIC LOVE: AT-INTIMATE RELATIONSHIPS (1998); Kim Bartholomew & Colleen J. Allison, An Attachment Per-15. See, e.g., Donald G. Dutton, The Abusive Personality: Violence and Control in

BOWLBY, Loss, supra note 14. 16. See BOWLBY, ATTACHMENT, supra note 14; BOWLBY, SEPARATION, supra note 14;

ANTHROPOLOGY 367 (1993); Helen E. Fisher, The Four-Year Itch, NAT. HIST., Oct. 1987, at 22, eprined in Applying Anthropology: An Introductory Reader 203 (Aaton Podolefsky k Peter J. Brown eds., 2d ed. 1992). 17. See M. Maurice Abitbol, Growth of the Fetus in the Abdominal Cavity, 91 Am. J. PHYSICAL

> 2007] AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE

enough to reproduce, and consequently their genes were weeded out sire to soothe them; those ancestral parents who lacked this desire, the unavailability. 18 but also threats to the attachment bond itself, such as caregiver threatening circumstances, which can include not only safety concerns hood that harm will befall the infant. The attachment-based motivathe caregiver in close proximity, a process that decreases the likeliof the evolutionary pool over time. At its most basic level, then, attheory suggests, tended to have children who did not survive long ceive sufficient care to survive. For example, infants cry when they as a result of evolutionary pressures, complementary affective and betion to seek proximity is especially strong under stressful or tachment is a deep-rooted emotional bond that keeps the infant and feel anxious, causing their caregivers to experience distress and a dehavioral systems that increase the likelihood that the infant will re-

simultaneously seeking contact."20 a tendency to communicate their anger, "arching away angrily while remain angry for a while. They express both a desire for intimacy and tions (separations or periods of unresponsive caregiving) frequently caregiver, infants who have experienced prolonged attachment disrupunavailable. Even when they are reunited with their primary ger and protest behaviors if the caregiver remains unresponsive or prolonged separation, threaten the attachment bond), infants feel anxwhen the caregiver is unresponsive (or when circumstances, such as responsive to their needs, infants feel calm and safe, concluding that comparable in many ways to hunger or thirst.19 When the caregiver is ious and insecure. This anxiety and insecurity can quickly turn to anthey are lovable and that their caregiver is dependable. In contrast, infants to their primary caregiver rises to the level of a basic need-According to attachment theory, the emotional attachment linking

osyncratic conclusions about the degree to which (1) they are worthy As a result of their early attachment experiences,<sup>21</sup> infants draw idi-

RICHARD B. FELSON, VIOLENCE AND GENDER REEXAMINED (2002)

Processes, in 35 Advances in Experimental Social Psychology 53 (Mark P. Zanna ed. Attachment Behavioral System in Adulthood: Activation, Psychodynamics, and Interpersonal 18. See Bowlby, Attachment, supra note 14; Mario Mikulincer & Phillip R. Shaver, The

Bowlby, Loss, supra note 14; see also Roy F. Baumeister & Mark R. Leary, The Need to Belong: Desire for Interpersonal Attachments as a Fundamental Human Motivation, 117 Psychol. 19. See Bowlby, Attachment, supra note 14; Bowlby, Separation, supra note 14:

<sup>20.</sup> Dutton, supra note 15, at 119 (citing Bowlby, Separation, supra note 14, at 285).

beyond the scope of this Article. 21. Genetic and temperamental factors also play a role, but a discussion of such issues is

social world in the future.26 ized and entrenched beliefs that influence how they interact with the reasonably high stability because the lessons infants learn about how are not entirely stable over time,25 they argue that the styles exhibit lovable they are and how responsive caregivers are become internal-Although attachment theorists recognize that these attachment styles be picked up but then immediately pushing away) when she returns. caregiver, become almost inconsolably distressed or angry when she leaves them alone, and both solicit and reject care (e.g., by wanting to "anxious-ambivalent" tend to cling anxiously to their primary not approach her when she returns. Finally, infants categorized as not exhibit overt signs of distress when she leaves them alone, and do tend not to pursue physical contact with their primary caregiver, do tionate reunion when she returns. Infants categorized as "avoidant" mary caregiver is present, become distressed or even angry when she (or he<sup>24</sup>) leaves them alone, and are quickly comforted by an affec-"secure" feel confident to explore new surroundings when their priand behaviorally to their primary caregiver.23 Infants categorized as ment styles," which refer to the ways in which they relate emotionally early empirical research, infants develop one of three unique "attachof affection and (2) others are reliably responsive.22 According to

to learn that their pleas would sometimes be met and sometimes be such pleas was fruitless. Anxious-ambivalent infants tended to have a them to learn that their pleas would be neglected and that making primary caregiver who was unreliably responsive, which caused them have a primary caregiver who was reliably unresponsive, which caused distressed pleas for comfort would be met. Avoidant infants tended to bly responsive to their needs, which caused them to learn that their ing. Secure infants tended to have a primary caregiver who was reliathat all three styles are adaptive responses to a specific type of parentment styles, Professor Mary Ainsworth and her colleagues<sup>27</sup> argued Although the secure pattern is the healthiest of the three attach-

2007] AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE

caregiver realize that the current need for comfort is especially acute, ambivalent infants to express as much distress as possible to make the sensitively. thereby maximizing the likelihood that the caregiver will respond infants not to depend too much on their caregiver and for anxiousneglected. Given this parental behavior, it is adaptive for avoidant

## IV. ATTACHMENT IN ADULTHOOD

ment is called for."31 unparsimonious if it were not able to draw upon the same basic capacnections:30 "The evolution of the brain would have to be considered ities of emotion and action in the various settings where strong attachemotional connections parallel those underlying infant-caregiver conportant in part because the neural substrates underlying such ment theorists have argued that such adult attachment bonds are imto investigate the attachment bonds formed between adults.<sup>29</sup> Attachhuman beings from the cradle to the grave,"28 which led these scholars Bowlby's assertion that "attachment behavior is held to characterize In the mid-1980s, psychologists began to examine Professor John

role and the other in the caregiving role), they also exhibit substantial can be threatened by diverse circumstances, including the perception and protest behaviors.<sup>32</sup> Attachment bonds in intimate relationships dynamics to adult relationships is the observation that, as with infants, of the key conceptual contributions of this application of attachment and essential similarities (e.g., adults seek support and reassurance for one another's needs rather than having one person in the needy important ways from infant-caregiver bonds (e.g., adults care mutually that one's partner is becoming detached or is attracted to somebody threatened—an emotional response that can quickly give way to anger adults frequently experience anxiety when their attachment bond is from their adult attachment figure when experiencing distress). One Although attachment bonds in adult relationships certainly differ in

See BOWLBY, SEPARATION, supra note 14.

See AINSWORTH ET AL., supra note 14.

tachment to a mother figure tends to be most common. 24. Infants can readily form an attachment bond to caregivers of either gender, although at-

Personality & Soc. Psychol. 783 (1999); Joanne Davila & Erica Sargent, The Meaning of Life 25. See Joanne Davila et al., Attachment Change Processes in the Early Years of Marriage, 76 J.

<sup>(</sup>Events) Predicts Changes in Attachment Security, 29 Personality & Soc. Psychol. Bull. 1383 (2003); Eli J. Finkel et al., Vengefully Ever After: Destiny Beliefs, State Attachment Anxiety, ind Forgiveness, 92 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 871 (2007)

shaver, Romantic Love, supra note 14. 26. See Ainsworth et al., supra note 14; Bowlby, Separation, supra note 14; Hazan &

<sup>27.</sup> See AINSWORTH ET AL., supra note 14.

<sup>201, 203 (1977) (</sup>quoted in Donald G. Dutton et al., Intimacy-Anger and Insecure Attachment as Precursors of Abuse in Intimate Relationships, 24 J. APPLIED Soc. PSYCHOL. 1367 (1994)). 28. John Bowlby, The Making and Breaking of Affectional Bonds, 130 Brit. J. Psychiatry

<sup>29.</sup> Hazan & Shaver, Romantic Love, supra note 14.

search on Close Relationships, 5 Psychol. Inquiry 1 (1994). 30. Cindy Hazan & Phillip R. Shaver, Attachment as an Organizational Framework for Re-

Spirit 298 (1982). 31. Melvin Konner, The Tangled Wing: Biological Constraints on the Human

der of the Attachment and Caregiving Systems, 44 Am. J. PSYCHOANALYSIS 9 (1984). 32. Bowlby, A Secure Base, supra note 14; John Bowlby, Violence in the Family as a Disor-

response to threats to the attachment bond: Bowlby argued that anger is frequently a healthy and adaptive

relationship which is of very special value to the angry person.33 In each case the aim of the angry behavior is the same—to protect a from dangerous behavior, to drive off a rival, or to coerce a partner. is not only appropriate but may be indispensable. It serves to deter Thus in the right place, at the right time, and in right degree, anger

These angry and protesting responses, when managed poorly, can lead experiencing attachment disruption in their romantic relationships. dealing with an attachment disruption are also seen in adults who are short, the anger and protest behaviors frequently exhibited by infants exaggerated versions of behavior that is potentially functional."34 In "maladaptive," and that it "can be understood as the distorted and threats, Bowlby believed that the violent behavior that can result is Although he argued that anger is a sensible response to attachment

tion with their partner. lent individuals were the most likely to exhibit obsessive preoccupathe least accepting of their partner's limitations, and anxious-ambivawere the most likely to trust their partner, avoidant individuals were derived from attachment theory. For example, secure individuals doesn't really love me or won't want to stay with me"; 19%).37 These three groups differed in ways that were consistent with predictions 25%), or anxious-ambivalent (e.g., "I often worry that my partner trust them completely, difficult to allow myself to depend on them"; am somewhat uncomfortable being close to others; I find it difficult to others and am comfortable depending on them and having them depend on me"; 56% of respondents in this sample), avoidant (e.g., "I self-classify as secure (e.g., "I find it relatively easy to get close to Ainsworth and her colleagues<sup>36</sup> by allowing research participants to ment theory to adult romantic relationships built on the research of their attachment styles. The first empirical study<sup>35</sup> to apply attach-Attachment theorists argue that, as with infants, adults differ in

ment dynamics. One of the major advances is a refined structure for Cindy Hazan and Phillip Shaver's38 seminal article on adult attachconceptualizing individual differences in attachment tendencies. A torrent of research has followed the publication of Professors

### 2007] AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE

ın catastrophizing appraisals.42 monitoring, being buffeted around by relationship events, or engaging dimension is not associated with preoccupying uncertainty, vigilant curity by orienting away from their partner; varying along this uals who are high on the avoidance dimension tend to deal with inseanticipated future consequences of relationship difficulties.<sup>41</sup> Individ around emotionally by relationship events, and to catastrophize the ior for signs of rejection or acceptance. They tend to be buffeted accept or reject them, so they vigilantly monitor their partner's behavdimension measures the strategies individuals use to regulate their atmeasures the affective and attributional processes involved in monidimensions indicating attachment security.39 The anxiety dimension on an attachment avoidance dimension, with low scores on both ment researchers are converging on the consensus that individuals diftend to feel preoccupying uncertainty about whether their partner wil tachment needs.<sup>40</sup> Individuals who are high on the anxiety dimension toring and appraising events for signs of threat, whereas the avoidance fer in terms of where they fit on an attachment anxiety dimension and Rather than thinking in terms of discrete types or styles, adult attach

### PREDICTING IPV FROM INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN ATTACHMENT

characterized by high levels of attachment anxiety are especially attachment avoidance with IPV perpetration is much less reliable.<sup>43</sup> prone toward perpetrating IPV; as discussed below, the association of A large and growing corpus of research suggests that individuals

<sup>33.</sup> Bowiby, supra note 32, at 11.
34. Id. at 12 (emphasis added).
35. See Hazan & Shaver, Romantic Love, supra note 14.
36. See Answorth Et Al., supra note 14.
37. Hazan & Shaver, Romantic Love, supra note 14, at 515 tbl.2.
38. See generally id.

Fundamental Dimensions Underlying Measures of Adult Attachment, 67 J. PERSONALITY & Soc. Steven Rholes eds., 1998); Dale Griffin & Kim Bartholomew, Models of the Self and Other: Overview, in Attachment Theory and Close Relationships 46 (Jeffrey A. Simpson & W Perspective, 71 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 899 (1996). PSYCHOL. 430 (1994); Jeffrey A. Simpson et al., Conflict in Close Relationships: An Attachment 39. See Kelly A. Brennan et al., Self-Report Measurement of Adult Attachment: An Integrative

ments, Emerging Controversies, and Unanswered Questions, 4 Rev. Gen. Psychol. 132 (2000). see also R. Chris Fraley & Phillip R. Shaver, Adult Romantic Attachment: Theoretical Develop-Attachment Dynamics in Separating Couples, 75 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 1198 (1998); 40. R. Chris Fraley & Phillip R. Shaver, Airport Separations: A Naturalistic Study of Adult

Shaver, supra note 18. The Role of Attachment Anxiety, 88 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 510 (2005); Mikulincer & 41. See Lorne Campbell et al., Perceptions of Conflict and Support in Romantic Relationships:

<sup>42.</sup> See Campbell et al., supra note 41.

at specific locations on the anxiety and avoidance dimensions is not always straightforward. nuance in favor of providing a brief but accurate overview of the big picture. the interest of avoiding substantial complexity, we gloss over some of this measurement-based differences in attachment tendencies in diverse ways, placing participants from any given study 43. Bartholomew & Allison, supra note 15. Given that scholars have measured individual

"hyperactivating strategies": ment anxiety deal with attachment threats by employing hood of IPV perpetration. Individuals characterized by strong attachof anxiety, anger, and protest behaviors that can increase the likeliward the high end of this dimension especially susceptible to the type istics of attachment anxiety that might make individuals scoring to-Before reviewing this evidence in detail, we first discuss the character-

ways be available and totally at the disposition of the attached perunavailability is increased, because the attachment figure cannot ala result, the likelihood of detecting signs of distance, rejection, and imagining insufficient interest, availability, and responsiveness. As figure behaviors and slant perceptions in the direction of noticing or [These strategies] intensify the vigilant monitoring of attachment-

strations of love and commitment" and to engage in "catastrophic appraisal of interpersonal conflicts, the perpetuation of the resulting negative affect, and conflict escalation."45 "to feel chronically frustrated due to the unfulfilled need for demon-These interpersonal strategies cause anxiously attached individuals

association between attachment representations and IPV among feempirical work focused exclusively on male perpetrators, ignoring the male perpetrators. retical and empirical research exploring this issue. 48 Most of the early attachment bonds. Recent years have witnessed a sharp surge in theoof strongly anxiously attached individuals increases the likelihood that they will experience impulses toward IPV when facing threats to their mention the catastrophic appraisals and severe conflict) characteristic frequent frustration of attachment needs and negative affect (not to acknowledged as central predictors of violent behavior. As such, the Experiencing goal frustration46 and negative affect47 have long been

igher on the attachment anxiety dimension than did demographically lemonstrated that court-mandated, male IPV perpetrators scored natched controls. 50 A subsequent study replicated this finding among licting IPV perpetration,49 a first empirical investigation by Dutton he role of individual differences in attachment representations in pre-Following closely on an early, gender-neutral theoretical analysis of

2007] AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE

attachment anxiety are prone toward IPV perpetration. evidence supports the hypothesis that men characterized by elevated conflict discussion with their spouse.<sup>52</sup> In short, strong and consistent sponse to instances when their spouse withdrew from them and to exence greater attachment anxiety than did maritally distressed but nongreater attachment anxiety than were those who were either maritally a sample of male IPV perpetrators recruited from the community hibit elevated belligerent tendencies during a laboratory-based high levels of attachment anxiety were likely to perpetrate IPV in reviolent men, but also demonstrated that those men characterized by replicated the finding that male IPV perpetrators tended to experi-A third study, which also employed a community sample, not only distressed but nonviolent or maritally nondistressed and nonviolent.51 who were both violent and maritally distressed were characterized by rather than from the court system, and demonstrated that individuals

were mandated by the court system to complete a batterer intervenstudy replicated it, albeit with a measure of "interpersonal depenstrated that the robust association of elevated attachment anxiety with evidence now demonstrates that attachment anxiety predicts IPV perwhether it applies to a broader range of IPV perpetration. Empirical whether the association between attachment anxiety and IPV perpetion program.56 Taken together, these findings suggest, as hypothedence" as a proxy for attachment anxiety, in a sample of women who IPV perpetration in a sample of female college students,55 and a third replicated the association between elevated attachment anxiety and IPV perpetration was not moderated by gender. 54 A second study IPV perpetrators. An impressive recent study, for example, demonpetration not only in gay male relationships,53 but also among female tration is limited to male violence against their female partners or A question that was not addressed by these influential studies is

<sup>44.</sup> Mikulincer & Shaver, supra note 18, at 77

<sup>45.</sup> Id. at 83.

<sup>46.</sup> See John Dollard et al., Frustration and Aggression (1939).
47. See Leonard Berkowitz, Aggression: Its Causes, Consequences, and Control

See Bartholomew & Allison, supra note 15.

<sup>48.</sup> See Bartholomew & Allison, , 49. See Mayseless, supra note 15. 50. Dutton et al., supra note 28.

tachment Patterns, Dependency, and Jealousy, 11 J. Fam. PSYCHOL. 314 (1997) 51. Amy Holtzworth-Munroe et al., Violent Versus Nonviolent Husbands: Differences in

bands, 15 J. Fam. Violence 391 (2000). Violence: Differences Between Secure, Preoccupied, and Dismissing Violent and Nonviolent Hus-52. Julia C. Babcock et al., Attachment, Emotional Regulation, and the Function of Marital

Male Intimate Abuse, 37 SEX ROLES 335 (1997). 53. See Monica A. Landolt & Donald G. Dutton, Power and Personality: An Analysis of Gay

ment and Intimate Abuse in a Community Sample, 20 J. FAM. VIOLENCE 219 (2005) 54. Antonia J.Z. Henderson et al., When Loving Means Hurting: An Exploration of Attach-

Attachment Style in a College Student Sample, 20 VIOLENCE & VICTIMS 287 (2005). See Holly K. Orcutt et al., Female-Perpetrated Intimate Partner Violence and Romantic

Theory as a Dependent Variable in the Treatment of Women Mandated into Treatment for Domes tic Violence Offenses, 41 J. Offender Rehabilitation 33 (2005). 56. See Michelle Mohr Carney & Frederick P. Buttell, Exploring the Relevance of Attachment

sized, that the attachment theory analysis of IPV presented in this Article is gender-neutral.57

topic for future research avoidance combine to predict IPV perpetration remains an important Clarifying whether and how attachment anxiety and attachment whether high attachment anxiety predicts perpetration most strongly predicts IPV perpetration, but they are inconsistent in suggesting predict IPV. All of these studies show that high attachment anxiety suggests that attachment anxiety and attachment avoidance interact to for individuals who are high<sup>58</sup> versus low<sup>59</sup> in attachment avoidance. with IPV perpetration. Some theoretical work and empirical evidence there is little consistent evidence that it exerts a simple association with IPV. We have neglected attachment avoidance thus far because perpetration, largely ignoring the association of attachment avoidance We have examined the association of attachment anxiety with IPV

# VI. IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

1 reducing violent behavior among those perpetrators whose violence nted toward making them less anxiously attached could prove fruitful he scientific literature indicates that treating IPV perpetrators with menable to change over time.62 Taken together, the current state of ivoidance are relatively stable personality characteristics, they are vidence suggests that although attachment anxiety and attachment ng both male and female IPV perpetration. In addition, ample zially elevated attachment anxiety—play an important role in predictsufficient evidence now suggests that attachment dynamics—espeally ineffective. These interventions, however, tend to pay little attenlinical interventions (individual therapy, couple therapy, or both) ori-IPV perpetration is a complex and multiply determined phenomenon, tion to the attachment dynamics discussed in this Article. Although they self-referred or court-mandated, for IPV perpetration are genertique<sup>61</sup> have provided evidence that extant treatment interventions, be precipitated in large part by perceived threats to the attachment In recent years, both a meta-analytic review60 and a blistering cri-

2007] AN ATTACHMENT THEORY PERSPECTIVE

couples who experience attachment-related IPV. ment-related distress in conflictual relationships have been developed in recent years;63 such interventions could be readily adapted for bond. A number of promising interventions for addressing attach-

promise for helping to alleviate the severity and frequency of the vioals, especially those who are anxiously attached by disposition, are and empirically supported perspective on IPV perpetration. Individulent behavior individuals perpetrate against their intimate partners. legal practices to accommodate this attachment perspective holds threatened, and these responses can boil over into violent behavior. likely to experience anxiety and anger when their attachment bond is Transforming IPV-relevant social policies, clinical interventions, and In conclusion, attachment theory provides a psychologically rich

X ROLES 785 (2005); Mayseless, supra note 15. tult Attachment Theoretical Perspective of Gender Symmetry in Intimate Partner Violence, 52 57. See Bartholomew & Allison, supra note 15; Bowlby, supra note 32; Barbara Gormley, An

<sup>58.</sup> See DUTTON, supra note 15; Landolt & Dutton, supra note 53, 59. See, e.g., Bartholomew & Allison, supra note 15.

<sup>51.</sup> See Dutton & Corvo, supra note 7.52. Davila et al., supra note 25. mestic Violence Treatment, 23 CLINICAL PSYCHOL. REV. 1023 (2004). 60. See Julia C. Babcock et al., Does Batterers' Treatment Work? A Meta-analytic Review of

<sup>63.</sup> See Attachment Processes in Couple and Family Therapy (Susan M. Johnson & Valerie E. Whiffen eds., 2003).